

National Judicial Academy

P-1204: Refresher Course for Money Laundering Courts

7th – 9th February, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Shruti Jane Eusebius, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 24

No. of forms received : 24

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	95.83	4.17	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	83.33	12.50	4.17	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	82.61	17.39	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	79.17	20.83	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	87.50	12.50	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	83.33	12.50	4.17	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	70.83	29.17	-	-
c. Up to date	82.61	17.39	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	47.83	52.17	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	56.52	43.48	-	-

III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	70.83	29.17	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	79.17	20.83	-	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	50.00	50.00	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	87.50	12.50	85.00	15.00
2	75.00	25.00	89.47	10.53
3	82.61	17.39	100.00	-
4	86.36	13.64	84.62	15.38
5	78.26	21.74	85.71	14.29
6	82.61	17.39	85.71	14.29
7	86.96	13.04	92.86	7.14
8	81.82	18.18	76.92	23.08
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	69.57	30.43	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	81.82	18.18	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	63.64	36.36	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS

<p>1. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme</p>	<p>1. Session 2: Role of Special Courts under PML Act; Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act and Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. None.</p> <p>4. Know the subjects acquainted with concept, No the law.</p> <p>5. 1. Enhanced the knowledge against the instant cases; 2. Interaction with other judges; 3. Helpful in dealing with cases.</p> <p>6. 1. Enlightened on fugitive offenders act, 2018; 2. Enlightened on crypto currency and issues related to cross-border money laundering; 3. Role of special court under PMLA.</p> <p>7. New topic properly addressed.</p> <p>8. Concept of PMLA; How to tackle of cases under PMLA; Burden of prof.</p> <p>9. Interaction with the respected panel lists.</p> <p>10. 1. Trial of PMLA cases; 2. Separate trial of scheduled offence and PMLA offence; 3. Sensitization of the need of changes for economic offenders.</p> <p>11. 1. Introduced with new subject; 2. Thought process changed; 3. Useful in day to day work.</p> <p>12. Concept of money laundering; PMLA case procedure; Bail in PMLA cases.</p> <p>13. None.</p> <p>14. 1. I got awareness on the PML Act; 2. Practical approach of PML Act.</p> <p>15. 1. On Sec 448 PMLA Act; 2. PMLA to be tried and immediately in spite of the result predicate offence.16. 1. Came to know about PMLA; 2. Practical approach for MPLA.</p> <p>17. Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000; Session 6: Search, Seizure/Attachment and Disposal of Property under PML Act.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Session 2: Role of Special Courts under PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000 and Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018.</p> <p>21. None.</p> <p>22. Not any.</p> <p>23. None.</p> <p>24. Marshalling of the case under the PMLA and schedule offences.</p>
<p>2. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 3: Contemporary Adjudicatory Challenges in PMLA cases: Way Forward.</p>

	<p>2. Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 and Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>3. All parts of the programme are most useful to me particularly the Session 2: Role of Special Courts under PML Act; Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act- jurisdiction and reverse burden.</p> <p>4. All.</p> <p>5. Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000; Session 6: Search, Seizure/Attachment and Disposal of Property under PML Act; Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 and Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>6. Fugitive economic offenders act, because the undersigned is not dealing with any case under the act before greatly enlightened.</p> <p>7. All the parts were useful.</p> <p>8. Bail under PMLA- because I am dealing the matter.</p> <p>9. Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 and Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>10. Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 and Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>11. Fugitive offenders.</p> <p>12. All programme is useful.</p> <p>13. Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act- for which very discuss not more clarity.</p> <p>14. All session – because I would able to know about PMLA in depth.</p> <p>15. Session 7: Fugitive Economic Offenders Act, 2018 and Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</p> <p>16. Session 1: Money Laundering as an Economic Offence; Session 2: Role of Special Courts under PML Act; Session 3: Contemporary Adjudicatory Challenges in PMLA cases: Way Forward; Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000.</p> <p>17. Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Session 2: Role of Special Courts under PML Act.</p> <p>20. Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000.</p> <p>21. None.</p> <p>22. All programme is most useful.</p> <p>23 None.</p> <p>24. None.</p>
<p>3. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. No.</p> <p>2. Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000.</p> <p>3. All programmes are useful to me.</p>

	<p>4. None.</p> <p>5. <i>Session 1: Money Laundering as an Economic Offence.</i></p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Fugitive economic offenders act, 2018- because I have read it is new for me.</p> <p>9. <i>Session 4: Burden of Proof & Appreciation of Evidence in PML Act; Session 5: Bail under Prevention of Money Laundering Act, 2000; Session 6: Search, Seizure/Attachment and Disposal of Property under PML Act.</i></p> <p>10. Not applicable.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. <i>Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</i></p> <p>17. <i>Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</i></p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. <i>Session 8: Cross-Border Money Laundering: Issues and Challenges.</i></p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. All part of the programme is useful.</p> <p>23. None.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>4. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Internet problem in rooms; Water bottles or water should be served during workshop lectures.</p> <p>2. Intimate the time table to the individual participants after their nomination for their respective high courts.</p> <p>3. By sharing the materials with all judges.</p> <p>4. No.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. E-mail should be responded.</p> <p>8. It's all excellent.</p> <p>9. None.</p> <p>10. Intimation in advance serving study materials earlier.</p> <p>11. No suggestion.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>14. To have more practical approach.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Going good.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Some recreational programmes should be organized in the evening.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Not any.</p> <p>23. Sports very good.</p> <p>24. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	---