

National Judicial Academy

P-1201: Workshop for Newly Elevated High Court Justices

18th – 19th January, 2020

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Law Associate

No. of Participants : 24

No. of forms received : 22

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	86.36	13.64	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	77.27	22.73	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	81.82	18.18	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	86.36	13.64	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	77.27	22.73	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	80.95	19.05	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	54.55	45.45	-	-
c. Up to date	76.19	23.81	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	80.95	19.05	-	-

e. Related to international legal norms	42.11	52.63	5.26	2. No.
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	81.82	18.18	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	90.91	9.09	-	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	66.67	28.57	4.76	2. Headphone for participants are required to understand the words clearly. The voice over should be of a slower peak For better understanding.
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	77.27	22.73	87.50	12.50
2	77.27	22.73	87.50	12.50
3	77.27	22.73	93.75	6.25
4	81.82	18.18	100.00	-
5	81.82	18.18	100.00	-
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	68.18	31.82	-	2. Very useful.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	63.64	36.36	-	-

c. The content was organized and easy to follow	72.73	27.27	-	-
---	-------	-------	---	---

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Companionship, Brotherhood with both judges of seeing session.</p> <p>2. 1. Interaction with the erudite person; 2. Proper guidance by the resource persons; 3. Knowing the experience of the Hon'ble judges of other high courts.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Clarity on constitutional vision of justice; Hearing techniques; Discussion/ interactions were very useful in clearing the doubts.</p> <p>6. <i>Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</i></p> <p>7. 1. Constitutional Vision of Justice; 2. Interpretation of constitution; 3. Separation of powers.</p> <p>8. Constitutional Vision and judicial review with fundamental rights.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Insight into constitution, the preamble more importantly.</p> <p>11. 1. Getting a grip at the subject matter; 2. Learning for contemporaries; 3. Resource persons are very able.</p> <p>12. None.</p> <p>13. 1. Was able to get a Bird Eye view of constitutional vision of justice and separation of power; 2. As a judge how I can manage and mediation in my court evaluate Pattern for myself; 3. Importance of suits a hearing.</p> <p>14. 1. Exposure to the vision of justice in background of constitution; 2. In calculate art of hearing; 3. Have understood fundamental rights in the background of fundamental duties.</p> <p>15. 1. Separation of powers; 2. Judicial review; 3. Art of hearing.</p> <p>16. No suggestions.</p> <p>17. <i>Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing-</i> and the topics discussed.</p> <p>18. Vision of constitution; Art of listening; Enhance your delivery by use of technology.</p> <p>19. 1. Vision of justice refer to constitutional & also us social aspects; 2. Art of hearing.</p> <p>20. 1. It has increased knowledge; 2. It was helpful; 3. Practical aspect of act of listening was helpful.</p>

	<p>21. Awareness of similar situation; Existing in the other high court; Different approach and problems listening of sounds</p> <p>22. Separation of power & judicial intervention Some tips about act of hearing; to followed spirit of law & lot just the text of law.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing.</p> <p>2. The art of hearing, as this is required to a known from the experienced person</p> <p>3. Deliberations by Hon'ble Justice Banerjee, Hon'ble Justice Lokur and Hon'ble Justice Sreedharan.</p> <p>4. All parts of programme found most useful.</p> <p>5. Art of hearing gave different dimension of hearing.</p> <p>6. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours- discuss was educative.</p> <p>7. Constitutional Vision of Justice and interpretation of constitution; Since these are useful in my day to day judicial work.</p> <p>8. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours and Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts.</p> <p>9. Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing.</p> <p>10. Court management because we came to know as to what future foretells in respect of decision making technology tools.</p> <p>11. Issues and discussion in Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts; It will be very helpful in my work.</p> <p>12. The Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing- most useful because day to day working a management.</p> <p>13. Court management and the act of hearing because being a newly elevated judge these expectance very essential for me; The programme on constitution was educative.</p> <p>14. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</p> <p>15. Judicial review.</p> <p>16. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review; Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture and Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours – they are relevant to our work.</p>

	<p>17. Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing- topics were discussed with slides etc. Very articulate presentation by the panelists.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Session 1: Constitutional Vision of Justice & Theories of Judicial Review and Session 3: Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights & Theory of Basic Features: Contours.</p> <p>20. Art of listening session was most useful as it was relating to day to day functioning as a judge.</p> <p>21. Question & answer sessions gave me possible solutions to my peoples.</p> <p>22. None.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Not arise.</p> <p>2. Session 2: Separation of Powers & Allocation of Legislative Powers: The Federal Architecture- Not very relevant at this new stage, upon from when I personally read the separation of power myself in the lessons myself in the past example</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. None.</p> <p>5. None.</p> <p>6. None.</p> <p>7. None.</p> <p>8. Nil.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Theories of judicial review because it was not thrashed out elaborately.</p> <p>11. None.</p> <p>12. None.</p> <p>13. NA.</p> <p>14. None.</p> <p>15. Court management.</p> <p>16. Session 4: Court Management and Information & Communication Technology in Courts and Session 5: The Art of Hearing – we are already in the habit of hearing.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Nil.</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. None.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. None.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and</p>	<p>1. If the schedule is extended by a day if possible it would be good.</p> <p>2. It is excellent.</p> <p>3. Interactive session's base on case related situations and problems may make the sessions more interesting.</p>

<p>make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>4. Since programme i.e. workshop for newly elevated high court judges is very good, no suggestion.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. A more practical approach for the newly elevated judges is suggested.</p> <p>7. Regular work shop for e-courts is requested for information regarding new technologies and their usage in the implementation of e-courts project.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. We should be given the material & time table on advance.</p> <p>11. Sound system to be much better.</p> <p>12. None.</p> <p>13. NA.</p> <p>14. Reading material of the programme should be provided few days prior to the workshop, so that better interactive session could take properly.</p> <p>15. 1. I personally feel more of case laws and materials should be distributed; 2. Change from CD to Pen Drive, at the cost of participants.</p> <p>16. None.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. Nil.</p> <p>19. Study matter supply before 3 days when session start.</p> <p>20. None.</p> <p>21. Nothing much.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p>
---	---