

National Judicial Academy

P-1148: Conference for High Court Justices on Direct Taxes

11th – 13th January, 2019

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Yogesh Pratap Singh, Research Fellow

No. of Participants : 19

No. of forms received : 13

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	69.23	30.77	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	61.54	38.46	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	69.23	30.77	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	61.54	38.46	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	69.23	30.77	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	46.15	53.85	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	53.85	46.15	-	-
c. Up to date	53.85	46.15	-	-

d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	46.15	53.85	-	-
e. Related to international legal norms	50.00	50.00	-	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITION	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	61.54	30.77	7.69	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	46.15	53.85	-	-
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	46.15	53.85	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	41.67	58.33	-	-
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory
1	72.73	27.27	77.78	22.22
2	63.64	36.36	55.56	44.44
3	72.73	27.27	66.67	33.33
4	81.82	18.18	77.78	22.22
5	72.73	27.27	75.00	25.00
6	63.64	36.36	66.67	33.33
7	75.00	25.00	50.00	50.00
8	66.67	33.33	50.00	50.00
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITION	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	76.92	23.08	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	69.23	30.77	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	69.23	30.77	-	-

VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS	
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Good knowledge on new laws including transfer pricing. 3. With no history of tax practice, the programme has guided me to a great extent. 4. Interaction solved a number of problems in litigation. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Got good insights into income tax laws. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. 1. Burden of Proof and Law of Evidence in Tax Law; 2. Basic feature & constitutional aspect of tax law. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Participant did not comment.
b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Entire programme was useful, Mr. Datar was insightful. 3. Law of evidence in tax law & constitutional aspects of tax law as well as role of courts. 4. Sessions by Justice R.V. Easwar and Mr. Arvind P. Datar. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Discussion on transfer pricing. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. All except transfer pricing. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Participant did not comment.

	13. Participant did not comment.
c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. Participant did not comment. 4. <i>Session 3: Interpretational Issues in Tax and Treaty Law</i>- as treaty laws do not come in Jharkhand High Court. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. All sessions were useful. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Transfer pricing. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Participant did not comment.
d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Participant did not comment. 2. The course material (email copy) should be sent earlier. 3. One of the sessions can include view of Government/Department on issue in hand. 4. For tax session resource person from revenue side should also be included. 5. Participant did not comment. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Adherence to time schedules. 8. Participant did not comment. 9. Ensure the speaker (Resource Person) shall not skip from programme. There must be open discussion in every session. 10. Participant did not comment. 11. Participant did not comment. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Participant did not comment.