

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>SESSION 1</b>		
<b>The Art of Hearing</b>		
1.	<b>Jonathan R. Cohen, “Open-Minded Listening”</b> <i>5 Charlotte Law Review 139-164 (2014)</i>	
2.	<b>Paula Lustbader, “Listening from the Bench Fosters Civility and Promotes Justice”</b> <i>13 (3) Seattle Journal for Social Justice 903-934 (2015)</i>	
3.	<b>Gary F. Keller, “The Listening Skills of Court Judges: Lessons for Managers and Leaders”</b> Available at: <a href="http://www2.gsu.edu/~wwwaus/research/2007/english/53.doc">http://www2.gsu.edu/~wwwaus/research/2007/english/53.doc</a> . Last accessed: 2-9- 2018 at 14:30 p.m	
<b>SESSION 2</b>		
<b>Access to Justice and Rule of Law</b>		
1.	<b>Prof. (Dr.) Ranbir Singh, “Access to Justice and Legal Services in the Constitutional Framework of India”</b> <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 109-124 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017 )</i>	
2.	<b>Justice K. G. Balakrishnan, “Courts as Agents of Social Change: Judicial Infrastructure and Access to Justice, Quality of Justice Delivery and Judicial Accountability”</b> <i>(2009) 3 SCC J-1</i>	
3.	<b>Prof. (Dr.) K. N. Chandrasekharan Pillai, “Facilitating Dialogue between the Court and the Commoner”</b> <i>(2014) 7 SCC J-7</i>	
4.	<b>Fali S. Nariman, “The Myth and Reality of Article 14 in the light of Growing Inequalities”</b> <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 49-60 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017 )</i>	
<b>SESSION 3</b>		
<b>Fair Trial Rights: Role of a Judge</b>		
1.	<b>Justice B. N. Agrawal, “Pendency of Cases &amp; Speedy Justice”</b> <i>(2007) 6 SCC J-1</i>	
2.	<b>Neeraj Tiwari, “Fair trial vis-à-vis Criminal Justice Administration: A Critical Study of Indian Criminal Justice System”</b> <i>2(4) Journal of Law and Conflict Resolution 66-73 (2010)</i>	
3.	<b>S. N. Sharma, “ Inordinate Delay Versus Speedy Trial: An Indian Experience”</b> <i>31 The Banaras Law Journal 174-183 (2002)</i>	
4.	<b>Hussain and Another v. Union of India and Another</b> <i>(2017) 5 SCC 702</i>	

SESSION 4		
Overview of the Indian Constitution		
1.	<b>Prof. M. P. Singh, “Mapping the Constitutional Vision of Justice and its Realisation”</b> <i>3 Journal of National Law University, Delhi 1- 16 (2015-2016)</i>	
2.	<b>Justice M. N. Venkatachaliah, “Constitutional Underpinnings of a Concordial Society”</b> <i>(2009) 6 SCC J-41</i>	
3.	<b>Mohan Parasaran, “Judicial Perspective of Harmony between Fundamental Rights and Directive Principles of State Policies in India for Protecting Democratic Norms”</b> <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 147-156 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017 )</i>	
Important Precedents		
1.	<b>Common Cause (A Regd. Society) vs. Union of India and Another</b> <i>(2018) 5 SCC 1</i>	
2.	<b>Justice K. S. Puttaswamy and Ors. vs. Union of India and Ors.</b> <i>(2017) 10 SCC 1</i>	
3.	<b>Shayara Bano vs. Union of India and Ors.</b> <i>(2017) 9 SCC 1</i>	
4.	<b>Binoy Viswam vs. Union of India</b> <i>(2017) 7 SCC 59</i>	
5.	<b>Asha Ranjan vs. State of Bihar</b> <i>(2017) 4 SCC 397</i>	
6.	<b>Subramanian Swamy vs. Union of India</b> <i>(2016) 7 SCC 221</i>	
7.	<b>Ram Jethmalani and Ors. vs. Union of India</b> <i>(2011) 8 SCC 1</i>	
SESSION 5		
Overview of the Indian Constitution		
1.	<b>P. Parameshwar Rao, “Separation Of Powers In A Democracy: The Indian Experience”</b> <i>37(1) Peace Research 113-122 (2005)</i>	
2.	<b>Prof. M. P. Singh, “Interpreting and shaping the Transformative Constitution of India”</b> <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 73-108 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017 )</i>	
3.	<b>Fali S. Nariman, “The Silences in our Constitutional Law”</b> <i>(2006) 2 SCC J-15</i>	

<b>SESSION 6</b>		
<b>Theories of Judicial Review</b>		
1.	<b>Madhavi G. Divan, “Judicial Review: Supremacy of the Courts or the Constitution”</b> <i>(2009) 10 SCC J-23</i>	
2.	<b>Manoj Mate, “Globalization, Rights and Judicial Review in the Supreme Court of India”</b> <i>25(3) Washington International Law Journal 643-672 (2016)</i>	
3.	<b>Justice Ruma Pal, “Judicial Oversight or Overreach: The Role of the Judiciary in Contemporary India”</b> <i>(2008) 7 SCC J-9</i>	
4.	<b>Richard A. Posner, “The Rise and Fall of Judicial Self-Restraint”</b> <i>100 (3) California Law Review 519-556 (2012)</i>	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Prafulla Kumar v. Bank of Commerce, Khulna</b> <i>AIR 1947 PC 60</i>	
2.	<b>Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain &amp; Another</b> <i>AIR 1975 SC 1590</i>	
3.	<b>L. Chandra Kumar v. Union of India</b> <i>AIR 1997 SC 1125</i>	
4.	<b>I. R. Coelho (Dead) by LRs vs. State of Tamil Nadu &amp; ors.</b> <i>AIR 2007 SC 861</i>	
5.	<b>Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India</b> <i>2015 AIR SCW 5457</i>	
<b>SESSION 7</b>		
<b>Doctrine of Basic Structure: Contours</b>		
1.	<b>P. P. Rao, “Basic Features of the Constitution”</b> <i>(2000) 2 SCC J-1</i>	
2.	<b>Prof. P. K. Tripathi, “Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala: Who Wins”</b> <i>(1974) 1 SCC J-3</i>	
3.	<b>Parag P. Tripathi &amp; Neelima Tripathi, “The Doctrine of the Invisible Constitution: A Relook at the Basic Structure Doctrine in the Context of Unenumerated Fundamental Rights”</b> <i>‘The Constitution at 67’ 125-138 (The Supreme Court of India; 2017 )</i>	
4.	<b>Andreas Buss, “Dual Legal Systems and the Basic Structure Doctrine of Constitutions: The Case of India”</b> <i>19(2) Canadian Journal of Law and Society 23-50 (2004)</i>	

<b><i>Important Precedents</i></b>		
1.	<b>Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala</b> <i>AIR 1973 SC 1461</i>	
2.	<b>Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain &amp; Another</b> <i>AIR 1975 SC 1590</i>	
3.	<b>Minerva Mills Ltd. &amp; Ors. vs. Union Of India &amp; Ors</b> <i>AIR 1980 SC 1789</i>	
4.	<b>M. Nagaraj and Others vs. Union Of India &amp; Others</b> <i>AIR 2007 SC 71</i>	
5.	<b>I.R. Coelho (Dead) By Lrs vs. State Of Tamil Nadu &amp; Ors</b> <i>AIR 2007 SC 861</i>	
<b>SESSION 8</b>		
<b>Doctrine of Stare Decisis</b>		
1.	<b>S.P. Gupta &amp; Rahul Agrawal, “<i>Doctrine of Precedent and Stare Decisis in India</i>”</b> <i>(2009) 3 SCC J-32</i>	
2.	<b>Justice R. V. Raveendran, “<i>Precedents-Boon or Bane?</i>”</b> <i>(2015) 8 SCC J-1</i>	
3.	<b>Mohan Parasaran, “<i>How to Comprehend Precedents</i>”</b> <i>(2016) 2 SCC J-28</i>	

*NOTE: The Cases in the Reference Material have been edited in order to highlight some issues for discussion in the programme. Please read the full judgment provided in the CD for conclusive opinion.*