

# NATIONAL JUDICIAL ACADEMY

**P-1090: North Zone Regional Conference on Enhancing Excellence of The Judicial Institutions:**

**Challenges & Opportunities**

17<sup>th</sup> – 18<sup>th</sup> March, 2018

**Programme Coordinator** : Mr. Sumit Bhattacharya and Mr. Shashwat Gupta, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal

**No. of Participants** : 98

**No. of forms received** : 78

<b>I. OVERALL</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>88.46</b>	<b>11.54</b>	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>89.74</b>	<b>10.26</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>84.42</b>	<b>15.58</b>	-	16. New ideas will be ingrained with time. Great interation.  67. Duration should be of at least 3-4 days.
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	-	47. Court is over burdened with traditional work so that there is very little scope for innovation.
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	-	47. Within the time provided interaction was encouraged, but programme should have been of at least 5 day.
<b>II. KNOWLEDGE</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>85.90</b>	<b>14.10</b>	-	-

b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>68.83</b>	<b>31.17</b>	-	35. Study material is good.
c. Up to date	<b>82.05</b>	<b>17.95</b>	-	16. Yes, day 2 was
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>94.87</b>	<b>5.13</b>	-	55. Beneficial conference in the working in order to deliver justice.

### III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM

<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>89.74</b>	<b>10.26</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Case studies were relevant	<b>77.03</b>	<b>21.62</b>	<b>1.35</b>	16. NO case study was included It was good on day 1.
(ii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>92.31</b>	<b>7.69</b>	-	-
(iii) Audio Visual Aids were Beneficial	<b>76.71</b>	<b>23.29</b>	-	-

*(To be modified as per the sessions planned)*

### IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING

#### Parameters

<b>Session</b>	<b>Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized</b>		<b>The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons</b>	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	Satisfactory
1	<b>94.81</b>	<b>5.19</b>	<b>95.31</b>	<b>4.69</b>
2	<b>90.91</b>	<b>9.09</b>	<b>95.24</b>	<b>4.76</b>
3	<b>93.42</b>	<b>6.58</b>	<b>93.55</b>	<b>6.45</b>
4	<b>90.67</b>	<b>9.33</b>	<b>88.33</b>	<b>11.67</b>
5	<b>93.24</b>	<b>6.76</b>	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>
6	<b>93.24</b>	<b>6.76</b>	<b>96.49</b>	<b>3.51</b>

### V. PROGRAM MATERIALS

<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>89.33</b>	<b>10.67</b>	-	47. I can make comment on this only after going through materials completely.
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>81.33</b>	<b>18.67</b>	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>86.67</b>	<b>13.33</b>	-	-

### **VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS**

a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Came to know the importance of Information and communication technology in improving the justice delivery system; Importance of constitution vision while dealing the case at district level; To line up the expectation of litigants.</p> <p>2. 1. The most important learning achievement of this programme was the constitutional vision of justice. It has highlighted as to how even subordinate judiciary can have vision of the matters keeping in view right to life and liberty.</p> <p>3. 1. E-court programme; 2. Constitution functionary in trial court; 3. Court management.</p> <p>4. 1. Helped in practical approach to dispute resolution within the ambit of law; 2. Evolving justice to do equity; 3. Paper less courts.</p> <p>5. 1. The mindset as a judge-an endeavor to understand the case in the perspective of constitutional values; 2. The social context judging is also encouraging a dynamic way to bridge the gap between law and social inherent inequities; 3. Becoming more receptive to technology in day to day working.</p> <p>6. 1. Constitutional Justice and Procedural law; 2. Use of IT in court; 3. Court/Case management.</p> <p>7. 1. Court management; 2. Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics; 3. Information&amp; Communication Technology in courts.</p> <p>8. 1. Court and case management; 2. Constitutional vision of justice to advance social justice; 3. Judicial Behaviour, ethics.</p> <p>9. 1. Constitutional application in civil and criminal matter in trial at magisterial level; 2. Free interaction proved to be more fruitful and worth gaining experience; 3. Use of technology in expediting justice delivery system.</p> <p>10. Constitutional vision qua magisterial work increase sensitization towards marginalized litigant; quick access to reduce pendency by using IT tools more effectively.</p>
---	---

11. 1. Came to learn as to how constitutional vision of justice be utilized by magistrates while dealing with matters under CrPc and other Acts; 2. Gained knowledge about e-courts.
12. 1. The approach is to impart justice especially in beneficial piece of legislation like 125; 2. Proclaimed offender list in High Court website action plan advance list to be noted it can help in improving bench & bar relation; 3. E-tracking of notice.
13. 1. How a magistrate can exercise power of constitutional law; 2. How IT knowledge will improve the functioning; 3. Court magistrate.
14. To use the provisions of law in context of constitution of India; Case management; Digitalization of all the data amounting to access to justice.
15. 1. E-court service; 2. Court Management; 3. Building synergies.
16. 1. To look into the constitutional perspective in cases before me; 2. No judging but justicing; 3. Buckle-up for technology and cut the delays.
17. 1. E-courts information, communication technology; 2. Constitutional vision of justice; 3. Synergies between High Court and District courts.
18. 1. It has updated my knowledge; 2. It would prove beneficial in my day to day working; 3. Interaction session gave encouragement.
19. Effective court management is must; Constitutional vision is important for district court also.
20. Knowledge about information and technology in judicial systems.
21. 1. Learning about case management; 2. Learning about e-court services; 3. Judicial values.
22. 1. E-court services session was great; 2. Regarding quality of judgment; 3. Helping the litigants while working within framework of law.
23. 1. E-court are useful; 2. Behaviour in courts; 3. Writing judgements.
24. 1. Application of the principles conference in constitution of India; 2. Importance of judicial behaviour; 3. Court and case management.
25. Application of constitutional provision to advance social justice.
26. 1. It encouraged us to make the maximum use of technology; 2. Encouragement for social justice; 3. Encouraged to follow the precedents.
27. 1. Use of technology in handling court working; 2. Effective court & case management techniques; 3. Achieving constitutional goals in our day to day working.
28. Constitutional vision of justice information and communication technology in courts. Access to justice court and case management.
29. 1. Interactive session use much useful; 2. Sharing the experience; 3. Discussion of practical problems.
30. 1. I have learnt more about constitutional vision of justice as concerned lower courts; 2. I have learnt about ethics and how a judge ought to be?; 3. I have learnt more about social context as a judging the matters and court & case management.

	<p>31. Upgradation of knowledge as to technology and information communication; Improves efficiency in working of court; Management of cases.</p> <p>32. Re-engineering of work culture &amp; process to be used in our courts; Delivering social justice also while facing technicalities of law &amp; in interpreting law.</p> <p>33. 1. Best suggestion given by justice Dr. S. Muralidhar for court &amp; case management; Approach to social justice in view of constitutional vision not only at the time of judgement but also in judicial process.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. Use of technology e.g. e-courts project ensuring good &amp; speedy delivery of services offered by courts. The efforts done by Haryana for such purpose are laudable; Justice for weaker sections of society; Friendly relationship amongst lower &amp; higher judiciary.</p> <p>36. New capsules to enhance working energy; It added and refresh our learning skill.</p> <p>37. Case Management and Court Management.</p> <p>38. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>40. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>41. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>42. Use of technology for providing easy access to justice; To follow constitutional vision of justice while deciding cases; Case management.</p> <p>43. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>44. 1. Interactive session; 2. Sharing of experiences; 3. E-work project.</p> <p>45. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>46. 1. Interactive sessions; 2. We have given much more opportunity to interact with Hon'ble judges; 3. Experience sharing.</p> <p>47. 1. Sharing of experiences provided more practical knowledge; 2. Exposure &amp; opportunities to interact with Hon'ble Judges; 3. Little breaks from routine work will provide fresh energy.</p> <p>48. 1. Social context judging as a controlling element in statutory interpretation; 2. Judicial behaviour and ethics; 3. Constitutional vision of justice.</p> <p>49. 1. Constitutional vision of justice; 2. Social context judging; 3. Case and court management.</p> <p>50. Very useful; and our daily court work.</p> <p>51. 1. Interaction with Resourceful Person; 2. Opportunity to share experiences 3. To get goal for achievement of constitutional vision of about justice.</p> <p>52. Useful for our court management, case management &amp; for speedy disposal of case.</p> <p>53. Case Management deliberations would help in discharging duties; Gave an insight into benefits of technology in making justice accessible to litigant; Experiences shared by co-participants &amp; speakers were really enriching; Widened</p>
--	--

	<p>the horizons as far as constitutional vision of justice is concerned and relevance of constitution.</p> <p>54. 1. Evaluation of my work capacity; 2. Learnt court &amp; case management in new perspective.</p> <p>55. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>56. A new vision about judicial discretion; Interaction with participants of other states was quite useful for learning new ideas; It helped me to learn more about use of IT.</p> <p>57. The <b>Session 2:</b> Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; and <b>Session 4:</b> High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies and <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts- were the three most important learning achievements of the programme.</p> <p>58. 1. Use of technology in judicial system; 2. Data Management; 3. Case flow &amp; e-courts project.</p> <p>59. 1. How constitutional values can be useful in our courts; 2. To achieve greatest amount of neutrality; 3. Learning to keep aside personal bias while deciding cases.</p> <p>60. Better case management; Better understanding of bias &amp; neutrality, need to upgrade computer skills.</p> <p>61. <b>Session 2:</b> Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts and <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</p> <p>62. Information &amp; Communication Technology in courts was very good.</p> <p>63. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>64. I have learned how to manage court work and to maintain discipline in the court and about judicial courts, e-court etc.</p> <p>65. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>66. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>67. 1. Court Management; 2. Effective and speedy disposal of cases; 3. Use of technology in day to day working.</p> <p>68. 1. Applicability of constitution in working of subordinate courts; 2. Court management; 3. Control over office and lawyers.</p> <p>69. 1. More clarity regarding expectations with higher echelon of judiciary has from us; 2. Certain problematic issues flagged &amp; noted; 3. A deeper understanding of constitutional Aim.</p> <p>70. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>71. Better understanding of the topics discussed.</p> <p>72. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--

	<p>73. 1. Learning to imbibe constitutional values; 2. How to do social justicing within the values of law; 3. How to be neutral and work with the achieve neutrality.</p> <p>74. 1. Constitutional vison of justice permeates our day to day working; 2. I&amp;CT are indispensable in furthering the cause of justice in the present and future; 3. Social context of justice is extremely important.</p> <p>75. 1. Importance of enhancing use of technology in legal system; 2. A good reminder as to how we are upholding constitutional vision of justice in our daily orders; 3. Ways &amp; mean of court management with limited resources.</p> <p>76. 1. Case management and court management; 2. Awareness about e-courts; 3. Awareness about website e-courts gov.in.</p> <p>77. Importance of communication/synergy with higher court in hierarchy; How to improvise on court proceedings with use of technology; Trial court using constitutional vision of Justice; Concept of litigation fatigue.</p> <p>78. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Court and case management.</p> <p>2. The Programme has highlighted that asking a husband in a maintenance petition to file an affidavit giving details of the privileges he’s using in his day to day life the court would be more equipped to grant maintenance in accordance with the status of parties; The programme of session 6 was eye opener; The manner in which conference was designed all the programmes were useful. Most useful one out of them is session 1. In this session the examples which were quoted gave practical examples into the vision.</p> <p>3. Every programme was much useful in day to day proceedings of courts.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. The most useful thing in the programme I found was the idea of social context judging in the perspective of upholding constitutional values and judges sharing their experiences on that.</p> <p>6. All the above.</p> <p>7. Interactive sessions. It helps us to learn from the experience of others and help in improving our efficiency.</p> <p>8. Interactive session as it helped in learning from others' experience and helps in dealing with day to day court problems.</p> <p>9. How to use technology to improve the quality and quantity of justice delivery system.</p> <p>10. Constitutional vision was shown how to amalgamate in procedures.</p> <p>11. <b>Session 1:</b> Constitutional Vision of Justice- in which constitutional provision were discussed as relating to magistrates while discharging their functions. It was really of far reaching results and new to me.</p>

12. Though all were good sessions touching different parts, however; Social context of judging.
13. Lectures & information provided by Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal. How a judge should work.
14. 1. Constitutional vision of justice has guided me as to how constitutional provisions have great application while using statutory provisions of law.
15. Access to justice – use of information technology. It helps the person at grass root level to know status of his case.
16. That to have constitutional eye in matters and wake up call for me to update myself to technology and dispose of so called easy cases quickly. Listening to Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.K. Sikri and Director NJA was great opportunity.
17. **Session 5:** Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts – it was very informative.
18. Constitutional vision of justice.
19. **Session 5:** Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; **Session 6:** Access to Justice : Court and Case Management
20. Role of magistrate in protecting constitutional vision of litigants and e-way project in judicial system.
21. All the sessions were useful and effective. It added a lot to my knowledge and will help in working of court.
22. All parts of the programme were very much useful & effective to help us in understanding proper working of court. Each part held its own relevance which helped to broaden our vision regarding practicalities of working in court.
23. All parts were useful and effective for the purpose of working in courts.
24. Constitutional vision of justice.
25. Use of constitutional provisions.
26. Imparting of social justice to the marginalized sections of society. It is an encouragement not to remain stuck to the statute law.
27. Participant did not comment.
28. Almost all programmes were useful in reducing the pendency of cases particularly by applying these latest technology in the courts.
29. Interactive session.
30. All programmes were most useful.
31. **Session 5:** Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; **Session 6:** Access to Justice: Court and Case Management- due to



enhancement of knowledge regarding technology & how it will be developed/used in courts.

32. **Session 2:** Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; **Session 5:** Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; **Session 6:** Access to Justice: Court and Case Management- because the methods & techniques to deal with the arising problems in advancing justice & delivering justice discussed most useful in improving work tasks.

33. **Session 5:** Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; **Session 6:** Access to Justice: Court and Case Management- regarding importance of computerization & information technology and case management for timely justice.

34. Case management and court management because this subject will be useful in court work and judicial work.

35. Session chaired by Hon'ble Director NJA and Hon'ble Justice Dr. S. Muralidhar.

36. **Session 2:** Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; **Session 4:** High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies.

37. Building synergies with High Court.

38. Participant did not comment.

39. Participant did not comment.

40. All

41. Part related to the case management was most useful because it will help in day to day working.

42. Case management. It will help in effective functioning of the court.

43. All

44. **Session 2:** Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism - coz due to this session came to know how to be cool, calm & neutral during the court process & not affected by prior bias.

45. Building synergies.

46. High court and district judiciary building synergies- because I found most practical approach of honorable judges regarding this topic.

47. **Session 4:** High Court and District Judiciary: Building Synergies- because generally nobody talks on this issue & including this topic was really useful.

48. Constitution vision of justice in very useful in my work to access real justice to litigant.

49. Case and court management is most useful for me.

	<p>50. Court management and case management.</p> <p>51. Constitutional vision for justice.</p> <p>52. <b>Session 1:</b> Constitutional Vision of Justice; <b>Session 2:</b> Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts.</p> <p>53. Session on Ethics, Neutrality and use of technology in courts. As an aid in making justice accessible were really beneficial.</p> <p>54. The inputs of Justice G. Raghuram. He must take session himself.</p> <p>55. All sessions.</p> <p>56. <b>Session 2:</b> Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism; <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management- It was of more practical use.</p> <p>57. The <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management- was very useful as it relates directly to our working which is Access to Justice: Court &amp; Case Management.</p> <p>58. Every part was useful. Conference has been very useful. Things learnt will have long impact in cutting delays &amp; making judicial system more effective &amp; information user friendly.</p> <p>59. Practical application of constitutional values in courts; Court management techniques; Usefulness of e-courts.</p> <p>60. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>61. Access to justice. Information &amp; communication Technology in courts.</p> <p>62. e-awareness programme of Hon'ble High Court of Punjab &amp; Haryana; Access to Justice for masses was a 'true' step towards taking justice to door steps.</p> <p>63. All</p> <p>64. Court management, e-court.</p> <p>65. <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</p> <p>66. Constitutional vision of Justice.</p> <p>67. Court Management.</p> <p>68. <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management - session of the programme are the most useful.</p>
--	--

	<p>69. The sessions chaired by Justice A.K. Sikri, Justice Dr. S. Muralidhar &amp; Justice G. Raghuram were through with their topics. Lots of knowledge they shared.</p> <p>70. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>71. All</p> <p>72. Court &amp; Case Management – super presentation &amp; extremely effective talk with remarkable inputs.</p> <p>73. The interactive participation where different colleagues/officers shared their practical experiences. The programme on court management was very educational.</p> <p>74. Sessions pertaining to information and communication technology as it holds the key to judging in the future. Being UBUNTU master trainer I could relate to the context on a different level.</p> <p>75. Lectures of J. Muralidhar on a Technology as they made me understand the vision of E-court project and its usefulness &amp; importance.</p> <p>76. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>77. <b>Session 5:</b> Access to Justice: Information and Communication Technology in Courts; <b>Session 6:</b> Access to Justice: Court and Case Management.</p> <p>78. The discussion relating to use of ICT.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. I did not find any programme as least useful.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. NA</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. All the programme were useful by one or the other means and has enriched us.</p> <p>10. How to increase good relation and build synergies in between High Court &amp; District court.</p> <p>11. No.</p> <p>12. None</p> <p>13. No such part.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. As a whole it was very helpful. There can also be programme for removing unconscious bias.</p>

17. Participant did not comment.
18. Nothing.
19. None
20. Role of magistrate in protecting constitutional vision of litigants and e-way project in judicial system.
21. Participant did not comment.
22. None
23. None
24. All programmes were useful.
25. No, All were useful.
26. No
27. Participant did not comment.
28. Nil
29. Participant did not comment.
30. None.
31. Participant did not comment.
32. Nothing
33. Nothing.
34. Participant did not comment.
35. None
36. Not any
37. Constitutional vision of justice. It is justice according to law for district court judges. Only discretion can be exercised in favour of socially backward people.
38. Participant did not comment.
39. Participant did not comment.
40. None
41. Participant did not comment.
42. All the programme were useful and relevant.
43. No
44. I don't find any programme least useful.
45. Participant did not comment.
46. I don't find any topic least useful.
47. **Session 2:** Elements of Judicial Behaviour: Ethics, Neutrality and Professionalism- because enough has been talked on this issue & we have been sufficiently trained on this issue (though it is very important).
48. No

	<p>49. None.</p> <p>50. E-court system.</p> <p>51. None</p> <p>52. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>53. All the sessions were interactive and thought-provoking.</p> <p>54. None</p> <p>55. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>56. All parts were useful.</p> <p>57. All the sessions were extremely useful. None was least useful.</p> <p>58. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>59. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>60. None</p> <p>61. None</p> <p>62. Nil</p> <p>63. No</p> <p>64. None</p> <p>65. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>66. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>67. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>68. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>69. The fourth sessions chaired by Justice Bindal, reason is that it was based on lesser coverage of horizon of problem faced by district judiciary. Situation is not as bad as projected or perceived.</p> <p>70. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>71. None</p> <p>72. Building synergies.</p> <p>73. Every session was designed in a way that it was relevant and useful and gave a new insight.</p> <p>74. None</p> <p>75. None</p> <p>76. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>77. NA</p> <p>78. Discussion on the synergy between Hon’ble High Court &amp; District Court.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Before an officer comes to attend conference kindly provide him/her synopsis of the article so that he/she can come prepared with his/her queries or problems; Lastly</p>

<p>programmes more effective</p>	<p>in case recording of lecture could be provided it would be of great help. I would like to listen Session 6 every month to motivate myself to serve better.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Always felt that these programmes are too broadly and extensively scheduled to be finished in just two days. Many a time, the resource person too feels constraint of time. The programme should be of more duration to have lasting effect of things taught and learnt.</p> <p>6. 1. Conference/Workshop on-Digital evidence; Technical aspects of cyber-crimes; 2. Soft copies of study material and presentations may be provided.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Such programmes may be conducted periodically and the link established between different states should be continued in futures also to exchange the experiences.</p> <p>10. These should be some group discussion between officers from different cadres in order to gain ideas in friendly environment.</p> <p>11. After resource persons deliver their lectures the participants must be given time to come out with their suggestion and questions and programme must be at least for 4/5 days so that each participant gets time to explain as to what is what. He/She must be asked to give in writing as to what problems does he/she face while transacting business of the court.</p> <p>12. Sir/Madam, there is increase in member of cases pertaining to maintenances. Once maintenance is awarded, the most difficult part is execution of maintenance Orders like 125 Cr.P.C., Domestic Violence Act; 2. There is also increased use of electronic evidence. The regular investigating officer are not equipped to deal with technology based crimes.</p> <p>13. This type of conference must be of at least 4 days as it helps us learning more &amp; more.</p> <p>14. Programme should be of more duration.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Sir, I am Civil Judge Jr. Division, Haryana. More of such refresher courses be arranged for Civil Judge (Jr. Division) and all national level C.J. (Jr. Division) be called. It's great learning and call us at our home NJA for learning.</p> <p>17. By participation of other Stake holders like, public prosecutors, lawyers etc.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Clear cut guidelines with regard to conduct of trial though video conferencing.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>22. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment.</p>
----------------------------------	--

	<p>24. No</p> <p>25. No.</p> <p>26. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>27. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>28. Effective management policy be formulated regarding effective disposal of cases and circulation.</p> <p>29. Discussion should be on ground level problem in lower judiciary.</p> <p>30. Time was very short according to subjects. There may be at least five days programme so all subjects may be covered and discussed.</p> <p>31. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>32. Sessions upon neutrality while serving as judge would give a lot of help.</p> <p>33. More effective &amp; elaborated session should be conducted on neutrality &amp; professionalism.</p> <p>34. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>35. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>36. Training through video conferencing from NJA.</p> <p>37. Practical aspects must be discussed; specially role of advocates.</p> <p>38. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>39. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>40. None</p> <p>41. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>42. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>43. No.</p> <p>44. There should give new opportunities to interact with officers of other states by giving share group discussion.</p> <p>45. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>46. More opportunity to interact with judges of other judiciary. Topic and stress and time management.</p> <p>47. Programme should give more opportunities to interact with officers of different states such as by creating smaller groups consisting officers of different states. Group sight-seeing should be organized.</p> <p>48. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>49. Time was very short according to subject.</p> <p>50. Regular conferences required.</p> <p>51. Invite suggestion &amp; problems before conference from the participants.</p> <p>52. Reading material should be provided in electronics form also.</p>
--	---

53. Such conferences and other events should be organized on regular basis Interactions and experiences shared by the experts are of great use.
54. Please persuade Hon'ble Supreme Court to rethink on CCTV cameras in the court. These are definitely not required.
55. Participant did not comment.
56. Inter zone conferences should be organized particularly, so that participants are able to exchange ideas in a better way.
57. Participant did not comment.
58. Such programmes should be organized more frequently.
59. Participant did not comment.
60. Participant did not comment.
61. The duration of such programme can be increased by sharing video clips on different aspects can make the programme more effective.
62. Participant did not comment.
63. Participant did not comment.
64. None
65. Participant did not comment.
66. Participant did not comment.
67. Schedule for conference should be increased and should be organized periodically.
68. Every officer of every state should be given opportunity to share his/her views about functioning of courts and dispensation of justice.
69. Food quality must be improved. Sharing of best practices followed by each state for disposal of cases needs sharing. Lastly, few sessions must be there where participants discuss and ponder over the issues they have in their respective courts. It will result in breaking the ice between them, which did not break in this programme.
70. Participant did not comment.
71. Participant did not comment.
72. Participant did not comment.
73. Participant did not comment.
74. Follow up programme be conducted on regular basis following interaction with judges of different states, including North, South interaction.
75. It was a well-planned programme.
76. Participant did not comment.
77. Study material may please be sent before hand; Please include paaniwala judgment by J. Krishna Iyer referred to by Hon'ble Justice Dr. S. Muralidhar.
78. The officers posted in special jurisdiction be provided with training programme particularly in technical subjects like Income Tax Act, Companies Act etc.