

National Judicial Academy
P-1066: Refresher Course for Human Right Courts
22nd – 24th December, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Ms. Ankita Pandey, Faculty, NJA, Bhopal
No. of Participants : 26
No. of forms received : 26

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	84.00	12.00	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	58.33	41.67	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	84.00	16.00	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	88.00	12.00	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	88.00	12.00	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	56.00	44.00	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	68.00	32.00	-	-
c. Up to date	52.17	47.83	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	66.67	33.33	-	-

e. Related to International Legal Norms	60.87	34.78	4.35	-
III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM				
PROPOSITIONS	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory	Remarks
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	64.00	36.00	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Group discussion cleared many doubts	78.26	21.74	-	-
(ii) Case studies were relevant	63.64	36.36	-	-
(iii) Interactive sessions were fruitful	87.50	12.50	-	-
(iv) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	28.57	57.14	14.29	-
<i>(To be modified as per the sessions planned)</i>				
IV SESSIONS WISE VETTING				
Parameters				
Session	Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized		The Session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	
	Effective and Useful	Satisfactory	Effective and useful	satisfactory
1	64.00	36.00	76.47	23.53
2	64.00	36.00	76.47	23.53
3	58.33	41.67	75.00	25.00
4	68.00	32.00	87.50	12.50
5	66.67	33.33	87.50	12.50
6	65.22	34.78	76.47	23.53
7	65.22	34.78	82.35	17.65
8	65.22	34.78	81.25	18.75
V. PROGRAM MATERIALS				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	92.00	8.00	-	-

b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	84.00	16.00	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	84.00	16.00	-	-
VIII. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Gave a better insight especially the need to compensate the victims. 2. Participant did not comment. 3. 1. To go in for speedy trials; Protect Human Rights. 4. To enhance the capacity of learning discussion and deliberation. 5. It broadened my idea of Human Rights Courts. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Participant did not comment. 8. 1. Impediments in execution of the Act; 2. Anomalies and 3. Probabilities. 9. Participant did not comment. 10. S. 30, About procedure; Compensation; 3. Trial. 11. 1. Legal provision pertaining to Human Rights; 2. International conventions. 3. Regarding functioning of Human Rights Court. 12. We have learnt the act from all aspects of constitution, international declarations. 13. Clearing the anomalies, comprehensive data on topic, sharing of experiences of other officers. 14. An insight into human rights concept. 15. 1. Got some new vision; 2. Interaction with the problem. 16. 1. Sensitization of subject matter; 2. Motivation to put in hard work; 3. Added thirst to learn more. 17. To take all care of the accused and the complainant. 18. Please invite sitting HC and SC Judges. 19. Position of enactment cleared. Law was discussed at length. 20. Human Rights Courts are established without making the Act affect life, liberty and equality. 21. Learnt a lot. 22. Field of Human Rights. Need of Human Rights. How to remove challenges to work. 			

	<p>23. Revised the learning human rights. Re-energized for working. Sensitization to problems.</p> <p>24. Role of Courts. Application of Constitutional provisions at lower level. Protection of individual's human rights.</p> <p>25. Updation of Knowledge. Interactive sessions. Sharing of views.</p> <p>26. Concept and development of Human Rights Laws and Jurisprudence. General Principle of Human Rights and its application.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Session 1: Concept and Development of Human Rights: A Jurisprudential Analysis; Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993; Session 5: Visualizing the role of Human Rights Courts vis-a-vis the Human Rights Commissions under the 1993 Act. 2. Session 3: Establishment of Human Rights Courts in India: Mandate, Mission and Vision. 3. The entire programme. 4. All 5. Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993; Session 5: Visualizing the role of Human Rights Courts vis-a-vis the Human Rights Commissions under the 1993 Act- the address made by Justice Dharmadhikari was very good and insightful. Therefore liked it. 6. Participant did not comment. 7. Interactive parts. 8. Session 1: Concept and Development of Human Rights: A Jurisprudential Analysis. It analyzed the scope of the Act. 9. The overall programme was very useful for day to day court. 10. All programmes are useful specially - Session 1: Concept and Development of Human Rights: A Jurisprudential Analysis; Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993; Session 5: Visualizing the role of Human Rights Courts vis-a-vis the Human Rights Commissions under the 1993 Act; Session 7: Human right of Fair and Impartial Investigation & Trial: An Audit; Session 8: Experiences and Challenges in the functioning of Human Rights Courts: Open House Discussion. 11. Anomalies in Human Rights Act 1993 as it allows us to have the better understanding of the Act. 12. All parts were most informative & interesting. 13. Group discussions which bring out the different views over the programme. 14. Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. – into regard to procedure to be adopted by courts. 15. Session 3: Establishment of Human Rights Courts in India: Mandate, Mission and Vision; Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993. 16. Lectures by Resource Persons.

	<p>17. Session 2: General Principles of International Human Rights Law and its adoption in the Indian Legal System; Session 3: Establishment of Human Rights Courts in India: Mandate, Mission and Vision; Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993; and Session 7: Human right of Fair and Impartial Investigation & Trial: An Audit.</p> <p>18. Session 1, 4, 5 & 8th Session.</p> <p>19. Concept of Human Rights.</p> <p>20. Speakers unanimously agreed with law commission recommendations to amend the Act.</p> <p>21. Last part.</p> <p>22. All part of the program.</p> <p>23. Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993; Session 5: Visualizing the role of Human Rights Courts vis-a-vis the Human Rights Commissions under the 1993 Act.</p> <p>24. Function of the Human Rights Courts. Statute does not define the offence to be dealt by court.</p> <p>25. Session 7: <i>Human right of Fair and Impartial Investigation & Trial: An Audit</i></p> <p>26. Very fruitful for us.</p>
<p>c. Which part of the Programme did you find least useful and why</p>	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. The address made by Dr. Sashikala Gurpur. It was not germane to the topic.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Sessions 4, 5 & 6 were based on collection of materials without application of the Act.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>11. All parts were useful.</p> <p>12. No.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Nothing.</p> <p>15. <i>Session 5: Visualizing the role of Human Rights Courts vis-a-vis the Human Rights Commissions under the 1993 Act.</i></p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p>

	<p>17. <i>Session 7: Human Right of Fair and Impartial Investigation & Trial: An Audit.</i></p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>20. Most items are useful.</p> <p>21. All parts are useful.</p> <p>22. All program was useful</p> <p>23. <i>Session 8: Experiences and Challenges in the functioning of Human Rights Courts: Open House Discussion - as it was already covered.</i></p> <p>24. Does not arise.</p> <p>25. <i>Session 4: Addressing anomalies in the Protection of Human Rights Act, 1993.</i></p> <p>26. All parts are useful.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. The study material should be made available at the earliest along with the programme schedule.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Food can be made better.</p> <p>6. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>7. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>10. Provide programme schedule at least 1-2 month before to plan & reserve journey.</p> <p>11. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>12. The services of NJA are beyond our expectations. So no suggestion in that regard.</p> <p>13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>16. Send programme objective and details before programme.</p> <p>17. Very effective but amendment in Act is required.</p> <p>18. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>19. Participant did not comment</p> <p>20. Generally good.</p> <p>21. To regularly hold such programs</p>

	<p>22. Participant did not comment</p> <p>23. Participant did not comment</p> <p>24. Please provide the copy of material used by resource persons in advance.</p> <p>25. Course duration may be extended.</p> <p>26. Such program may be held again.</p>
--	--