

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Session 1</b>		
<b>Jurisprudential Charter of Commercial Courts; Commercial Courts: Contours of Jurisdiction</b>		
1.	<b>Chapter III, Need to Update and Reframe the Commercial Division Of the High Courts Bill, 2009</b> <i>Report No. 253, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division of High Courts and Commercial Courts Bill, 2015, Law Commission of India, Government of India</i>	
2.	<b>Doing Business, World Bank, 2016. Doing Business 2016: Measuring Regulatory Quality and Efficiency-India</b> <i>Retrieved from- <a href="http://www.doingbusiness.org/~media/WBG/DoingBusiness/Documents/Annual-Reports/English/DB16-Full-Report.pdf">http://www.doingbusiness.org/~media/WBG/DoingBusiness/Documents/Annual-Reports/English/DB16-Full-Report.pdf</a></i>	
3.	<b>Chapter 8, Introduction of Commercial Courts, Commercial Division and Commercial Appellate Division ,</b> <i>Dispute Resolution in India, Nishith Desai Associates (2016)</i>	
4.	<b>Super Cassette Industries Pvt. Ltd. v. Goldy Dish Antenna</b> <i>CS COMM 775/2016</i>	
<b>Session 2</b>		
<b>Interpretation of Distribution &amp; Licensing Agreements : Disputes &amp; Resolution</b>		
1.	<b>Raymond T. Nimmer, “Licensing Information Assets in the New Economy: A Pro-Rights Perspective</b> <i>3 The Indian Journal of Law and Technology 1-24 (2007)</i>	
2.	<b>Legal Issues in Franchising: An Indian Perspective</b> <i>Nishith Desai Associates (2003)</i>	
3.	<b>Key Licensing Issues in India</b> <i>Intellectual Asset Management 17-20 (2008)</i>	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Star Sports India Pvt. Ltd. v. Prasar Bharti and Ors.</b> <i>(2016) 11 SCC 433</i>	
2.	<b>PVR Limited v. State of Karnataka and Ors.</b> <i>(2015) 13 SCC 140</i>	
3.	<b>Aircel Cellular Ltd. v. Union of India</b> <i>2016(5) CTC 259</i>	
4.	<b>PVR Pictures Ltd. v. Studio 18</b> <i>2009 (111) DRJ 436 2049</i>	

<b>SESSION 3</b>		
<b>Interpretation of Insurance &amp; Re - Insurance Agreements : Disputes &amp; Resolution</b>		
1.	<b>Mark A. Geistfeld, “Interpreting the Rules of Insurance Contract Interpretation”</b> <i>Paper 538, University Public Law and Legal Theory Working Papers, New York (2015)</i>	
2.	<b>Peter Rogan, “The Insurance and Reinsurance Law Review”</b> Chapter 15- India ,191-201 (April 2017)	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Industrial Promotion and Investment Corporation of Orissa Ltd. v. New India Assurance Company Limited</b> <span style="float: right;">(2016) 15 SCC 315</span>	
2.	<b>United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Orient Traders (P) Ltd.</b> <span style="float: right;">(2016) 3 SCC 49</span>	
3.	<b>Export Credit Guarantee Corp of India Ltd v Garg Sons International</b> <span style="float: right;">(2014) 1 SCC 686</span>	
4.	<b>Vikram Greentech (I) Ltd. v. New India Assurance Co. Ltd.</b> <span style="float: right;">(2009) 5 SCC 599</span>	
5.	<b>Rahee Industries Ltd. v. Export Credit Guarantee Corp of India Ltd</b> <span style="float: right;">(2009) 1 SCC 138</span>	
6.	<b>United India Insurance Co. Ltd. v. M/s. Harchand Rai Chandan Lal</b> <span style="float: right;">(2004) 3 SCC 694</span>	
<b>Sessions 4 &amp; 5</b>		
<b>IPR Disputes Relating to Copyright, Patent, Trademarks and Design</b>		
1.	<b>Justice R.K. Abichandani, “Role of Judiciary in the Effective Protection of Intellectual Property Right”</b> <i>Retrieved From - <a href="http://gujarathighcourt.nic.in/Articles/roleofjudiciary.htm">http://gujarathighcourt.nic.in/Articles/roleofjudiciary.htm</a></i>	
2.	<b>Ashutosh Kumar, “Patent Protection Through Injunctions: Adding Sting to the Enforcement of Indian Patent Law”</b> <i>20 (2) National Law School of India Review 202-219 (2008)</i>	
3.	<b>V.K. Unni, “Compulsory Licensing of Pharmaceutical Patents in India: Whether the NATCO Decision will Meet the Global Benchmarks?”</b> <i>37 European Intellectual Property Review 296-304 (2015)</i>	

4.	<b>Justice S. Muralidhar, “Jurisdictional Issues in Cyberspace”</b> <i>6 The Indian Journal of Law &amp; Technology 1-42 (2010)</i>	
5.	<b>Eashan Ghosh, “Surveying the Damage: A study of Damages Payout by Delhi High Court in Trademark Infringement Cases (2005-2014)”</b> <i>11 The Indian Journal of Law and Technology 52-65 (2015)</i>	
6.	<b>Meera Jayakumar &amp; Himangi Dadwal, “Beyond Copyright: Possible Solution to an Internet Governance Regime”</b> <i>6 The Indian Journal of Law &amp; Technology 140-154 (2010)</i>	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Cello Household Products v. Modware India</b> <i>(2017)(3) AIR Bom R 499</i>	
2.	<b>Zee Entertainment Enterprises Ltd. v. Sony Pictures Networks India Pvt. Ltd.</b> <i>(2017)(3) AIR Bom R 436</i>	
3.	<b>S. Syed Mohideen v. P. Sulochana Bai</b> <i>(2016) 2 SCC 683</i>	
4.	<b>Alloys Wobben and Anr. v. Yogesh Mehra and Anr.</b> <i>(2014) 15 SCC 360</i>	
5.	<b>Paragon Rubber Industries and Anr. v. Pragathi Rubber Mills</b> <i>(2014) 14 SCC 762</i>	
6.	<b>Bajaj Auto Ltd. v. TVS Motor Co. Ltd.</b> <i>(2009) 9 SCC 797</i>	
7.	<b>Akuate Internet Services Pvt. Ltd. v. Star India Pvt. Ltd.</b> <i>MIPR 2013 (3)1</i>	
8.	<b>Super Cassettes Industries Ltd. v. Music Broadcast Pvt. Ltd.</b> <i>(2012) 5 SCC 488</i>	
9.	<b>Bharat Glass Tube Ltd. v. Gopal Glass Works Ltd.</b> <i>(2008) 10 SCC 657</i>	
10.	<b>Heinz Italia and Anr. v. Dabur India Ltd.</b> <i>(2007) 6 SCC 1</i>	
11.	<b>Ramdev Food Products (P) Ltd. v. Arvindbhai Rambhai Patel &amp; Ors.</b> <i>(2006) 8 SCC 726</i>	
12.	<b>Satyam Infoway Ltd. v. Sifynet Solutions Pvt. Ltd.</b> <i>(2004) 6 SCC 145</i>	

<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SESSION 6</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Commercial Courts vis-à-vis Arbitration;</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Amendments to CPC in Application to Commercial Disputes</b></p>		
1.	<b>Report of High Level Committee to Review the Institutionalisation of Arbitration in India – Relevant Extracts</b>	
2.	<b>Sulabh Rewari and Poorvi Satija “Are Commercial Courts the answer to India’s Arbitration woes?”</b> <i>Kluwer Arbitration Blog, December 25 2015,</i> <i>Retrieved From : <a href="http://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2015/12/25/are-commercial-courts-the-answer-to-indiasarbitration-woes/">http://arbitrationblog.kluwerarbitration.com/2015/12/25/are-commercial-courts-the-answer-to-indiasarbitration-woes/</a></i>	
3.	<b>The Lord Thomas of CWMGIEDD, “Commercial Dispute Resolution: Courts and Arbitration”</b> <i>Lecture Delivered at -The National Judges College, Beijing (6 April, 2017)</i>	
4.	<b>Firew Tiba, “The Emergence of Hybrid International Commercial Courts and the Future of Cross Border Commercial Dispute in Asia”</b> <i>14(1) Loyola University Chicago International Law Review 31-53 (2016)</i>	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Samsung Leasing Ltd. v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.</b> <i>2017 SCC OnLine Del 9374</i>	
2.	<b>HPL (India) Ltd. &amp; Ors. v. QRG Enterprises &amp; Anr.</b> <i>(2017) 238 DLT 123 (DB)</i>	
3.	<b>Sushila Singhanian v. Bharat Hari Singhanian</b> <i>(2017) 3 AIR BOM R 357</i>	
4.	<b>Hubtown Limited v. IDBI Trusteeship Service Ltd.</b> <i>2016 SCC OnLine Bom 9019</i>	
5.	<b>Roger Shashoua &amp; Ors. v. Mukesh Sharma &amp; Ors.</b> <i>OMP (COMM.) 1/2015 Delhi HC</i>	
<p style="text-align: center;"><b>SESSION 7</b></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><b>Construction &amp; Infrastructure Contracts</b></p>		
1.	<b>S. K Dholakia, “Arbitration Agreement in Construction Contracts”</b> <i>1(1) Indian Journal of Arbitration Law 9-17(2012)</i>	
2.	<b>K. S. Harishankar and G. Sreeparvathy, “Rethinking Dispute Resolution in Public–Private Partnerships for Infrastructure Development in India”</b> <i>5(1) Journal of Infrastructure Development 21-32 (2013)</i>	

3.	<b>K. Chandrashekhara Iyer and Kalidindi N. Satyanarayana, “Final and Binding Power Clauses in Indian Construction Contracts”</b> <i>20(1) International Journal of Project Management 13-22 (2002)</i>	
<b>Important Precedents</b>		
1.	<b>Delhi Development Authority v. Kenneth Builders and Developers Ltd.</b> <i>(2016) 13 SCC 561</i>	
2.	<b>Construction and Design Services v. Delhi Development Authority</b> <i>(2015) 14 SCC 263</i>	
3.	<b>Elektron Lighting systems Pvt. Ltd. v. Shah Investments Financial Developments and Consultants Pvt. Ltd.</b> <i>(2015) 15 SCC 137</i>	
4.	<b>State of Gujrat v. Kothari Associates</b> <i>(2016) 14 SCC 761</i>	
5.	<b>NTPC Ltd. v. Ashok Kumar Singh &amp; Ors.</b> <i>(2015) 4 SCC 252</i>	
6.	<b>Larsen and Toubro Ltd. and Anr. v. State of Karnataka and Anr.</b> <i>(2014) 1 SCC 708</i>	
<b>Session 8</b>		
<b>Procedure for Collection and Disclosure of Data; Case Management under the Act</b>		
1.	<b>Justice G. C. Bharuka, “Technology and Timely Justice”</b> <i>Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5-12(January-March, 2016)</i>	
2.	<b>Justice Madan B. Lokur, “Case Management Through Court Annexed Mediation and Other Developments”</b> <i>Retrieved From: <a href="http://www.lawasia.asn.au/Judicial%20-%20LOKUR.pdf?...Judicial%20-%20LOKUR...">www.lawasia.asn.au/Judicial%20-%20LOKUR.pdf?...Judicial%20-%20LOKUR...</a></i>	
3.	<b>Justice Roshan Dalvi, “The Business of Court Management”</b> <i>XVI (III) NYAYA DEEP 13-35 (July 2015)</i>	
4.	<b>Hussain &amp; Another vs. Union of India &amp; Another</b> <i>(2017) 5 SCC 702</i>	
5.	<b>Rameshwari Devi &amp; Ors. vs. Nirmala Devi &amp; Ors.</b> <i>(2011) 8 SCC 249</i>	

**Note:** Some Judgments have been edited in order to accommodate in the Reference Material.