

# National Judicial Academy

P-1024: Annual Conference on Bench and the Bar Relationships at the High Court Level

6<sup>th</sup> – 7<sup>th</sup> May, 2017

Programme Coordinator : Mr. Prasadh Raj Singh, Law Associate

No. of Participants : 21

No. of forms received : 21

I. OVERALL				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
a. The objective of the Program was clear to me	<b>100.00</b>	-	-	-
b. The subject matter of the program is useful and relevant to my work	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
c. Overall, I got benefited from attending this program	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
d. I will use the new learning, skills, ideas and knowledge in my work	<b>85.71</b>	<b>14.29</b>	-	-
e. Adequate time and opportunity was provided to participants to share experiences	<b>80.95</b>	<b>19.05</b>	-	-
II. KNOWLEDGE				
PROPOSITIONS	To a great extent	To some extent	Not at all	Remarks
The program provided knowledge (or provided links / references to knowledge) which is:				
a. Useful to my work	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>	-	-
b. Comprehensive (relevant case laws, national laws, leading text / articles / comments by jurists)	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
c. Up to date	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
d. Related to Constitutional Vision of Justice	<b>66.67</b>	<b>33.33</b>	-	-
e. Related to International Legal Norms	<b>27.78</b>	<b>61.12</b>	-	-

<b>III. STRUCTURE OF THE PROGRAM</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>Good</b>	<b>Satisfactory</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The structure and sequence of the program was logical	<b>76.19</b>	<b>23.81</b>	-	-
b. The program was an adequate combination of the following methodologies viz.				
(i) Interactive sessions were fruitful	<b>90.48</b>	<b>9.52</b>	-	-
(ii) Audio Visual Aids were beneficial	<b>50.00</b>	<b>37.50</b>	<b>12.50</b>	-
<b>IV. INDIVIDUAL SESSIONS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. Discussions in individual sessions were effectively organized	<b>80.00</b>	<b>20.00</b>	-	-
b. The session theme was adequately addressed by the Resource Persons	<b>94.74</b>	<b>5.26</b>	-	15. To a great extent will be an understatement. It is simply excellent.
<b>V. PROGRAM MATERIALS</b>				
<b>PROPOSITIONS</b>	<b>To a great extent</b>	<b>To some extent</b>	<b>Not at all</b>	<b>Remarks</b>
a. The Program material is useful and relevant	<b>76.19</b>	<b>23.81</b>	-	-
b. The content was updated. It reflected recent case laws/ current thinking/ research/ policy in the discussed area	<b>60.00</b>	<b>40.00</b>	-	-
c. The content was organized and easy to follow	<b>65.00</b>	<b>35.00</b>	-	-
<b>VI. GENERAL SUGGESTIONS</b>				
a. Three most important learning achievements of this Programme	<p>1. Role of a Judge; Means to tackle advocates, Precedent on regards the Supreme Court Judgement &amp; its own.</p> <p>2. How to improve the Bench and Bar Relationship; How to tackle the unruly lawyers; How to be more and more dedicated to the duty entrusted.</p> <p>3. Judges should get rid of Social baggage; Judgement should be unambiguous, guided by reasons; Bar to needs to be respected.</p> <p>4. Coming to the Academy has always been a learning experience.</p> <p>5. Participant did not comment.</p>			

	<p>6. 1. Bench and Bar relations; 2. Suggestions for improving legal education; 3. Interactive sessions and general discussion on the judge, Justice, Advocates and justice delivery system.</p> <p>7. <i>Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: - The Judicial Role.</i></p> <p>8. The programme was very useful as a learning and updating conference. The thought process triggered in the issues discussed would eternally lead to a more comprehensive approach in dealing with hand solving the problems in the relationship between the Bench and the Bar.</p> <p>9. Gave a very useful &amp; overall perspective of the intricate issues involved in all the sessions.</p> <p>10. 1. How to conduct the courts more effective; 2. How to strike a balance with the relationship with bar; 3. I find the problem is universal and has to be tackled firmly.</p> <p>11. The important of timely delivery of justice; The belligerence or sub-optimal assistance be not needs an exercise for not deciding a case properly; and, the awareness about the difficulties coming in the way of dispensation of justice in various High Courts due to exchange of view by the participating judges.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. None</p> <p>14. 1. (Implied) recommended reading materials; 2. Sometimes in language in articulating ticklish issues; 2. Got better informed about certain provisions aspect of constitution touching upon commonly widely discussed issues.</p> <p>15. Listing is difficult. But there are quite a few aspects which I, at a personal level, will have to reflect, ponder and assimilate in the overall scheme of administration of justice.</p> <p>16. Good relationship of Bench and Bar in essential role of judge in bindle good relationship.</p> <p>17. On the point of managing conflicting precedents.</p> <p>18. <i>Session 1: Bench and the Bar: operative norms for optimizing justice delivery; Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict: Distilling Rationes; Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role.</i></p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. Behavior of judge be lawyers in dispensing justice in the society.</p> <p>21. Visualize new horizons of the problems faced by us day to day. Interaction and sharing of experience are very good tools of learning and enriching the knowledge.</p>
<p>b. Which part of the Programme did you find most useful and why</p>	<p>1. Precedent.</p> <p>2. <i>Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role: - The discussion was very pin-pointed; Every participant shared the thought.</i></p>

	<p>3. <b>Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict: Distilling Rationes;</b>  <b>Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role:</b> - as one has to learn &amp; accept skills &amp; situations to achieve ultimate goal of reaching the litigant through our decisions.</p> <p>4. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>5. Hon'ble Mr. Justice A. K. Ganguly talk in the first session was straight from heart.</p> <p>6. <b>Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict:</b> - because the different and equally difficult situations are being faced by High Court Judges including the undersigned in day to day judging.</p> <p>7. <b>Session 1: Bench and the Bar: operative norms for optimizing justice delivery;</b>  <b>Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role.</b></p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Gave a very useful &amp; overall perspective of the intricate issues involved in all the sessions.</p> <p>10. Practically every; Each programme were effectively discussed.</p> <p>11. <b>Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict; Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role:</b> - because the clarity with which the eminent jurist like Justice A.K. Ganguly, Justice B.S. Chouhan and Justice U.U. Lalit explained the topics under discussion.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. Interactive session.</p> <p>14. Discussions/interactions with members of the Bench from other High Courts.</p> <p>15. Overall an enriching experience.</p> <p>16. Relationship— Session out by Hon'ble Mr. Justice A.K. Ganguly. He shared his experience as a judge of different High Courts and made one to relies How to confidence in the Judge is important.</p> <p>17. <b>Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict: Distilling Rationes:</b> - Since it gave an insight in understands the conflicts present resolution.</p> <p>18. <b>Session 5: Proposals and suggestions to nurture Bench &amp; Bar relationship:</b> - Because it gave attention of communication in judges oriented.</p> <p>19. Justice Ganguly; all sessions were fine.</p> <p>20. All the sessions.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p>
c. Which part of the Programme did you	<p>1. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>2. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment.</p>

<p>find least useful and why</p>	<p>4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. <i>Session 3: Belligerence, asymmetric or sub-optimal assistance at the Bar: The Judicial Role:</i> -because it was covered by the discussions in other sessions.</p> <p>7. <i>Session 2: Managing the complex web of precedent conflict.</i></p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Gave a very useful &amp; overall perspective of the intricate issues involved in all the sessions.</p> <p>10. None. 11. None. 12. Participant did not comment. 13. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>14. All parts of the programme were very useful.</p> <p>15. Participant did not comment. 16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Every part of it was highly useful; No part of programme can't be said to least useful.</p> <p>18. No Comment. 19. None.</p> <p>20. Participant did not comment. 21. Participant did not comment.</p>
<p>d. Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective</p>	<p>1. Issues being faced by various High Court may be invited from time to time so as to make programme more effective.</p> <p>2. The Participants should be supplied with the study materials at least one week before so that they can effectively participate in the conference.</p> <p>3. Participant did not comment. 4. Participant did not comment. 5. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>6. Scope of improvement is always there in every organization and at its every level undersigned is too small to suggest anything to an authority like justice G. Raguram. Wish this great institution all the best for the times to come.</p> <p>7. After finalization of nomination if the study materials can be sent directly in email of the participant at least 7 days before the date of programme.</p> <p>8. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>9. Gave a very useful &amp; overall perspective of the intricate issues involved in all the sessions.</p>

	<p>10. If the number of the sessions are reduced the time for discussions for each sessions would be more and there could be more participation.</p> <p>11. It would be better if some more time is given to interactive sessions in order to clear doubts coming to the mind of the participant judges.</p> <p>12. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>13. An indoor shuttle badminton court would be very useful for the participant.</p> <p>14. Our specific issue was air-conditioning was either very poor or not working in the conference hall and is 3 wing of guest rooms. Needs attention please.</p> <p>15. It will require some time to reflect. May revert back.</p> <p>16. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>17. Participant did not comment.</p> <p>18. None</p> <p>19. None.</p> <p>20. There programme could be done through video conference with half an hour session after court hours. So that time &amp; money would be saved.</p> <p>21. Participant did not comment.</p>
--	--