

# National Judicial Academy

P 969 Seminar on Role of Courts and Regulator

February 4-7, 2016

<b>Prog Coordinator</b>	Ms. Shruti Jane, Law Associate, NJA
<b>No. of Participants</b>	11
<b>No. of forms received</b>	8

## General Suggestions

3	<p><b>Give your views on the structure of the programme and sessions included:</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. More academically inclined.</li> <li>2. Well designed and conducted.</li> <li>3. Very innovative and useful session</li> <li>4. More focused</li> <li>5. Good</li> <li>6. Appropriate</li> <li>7. Very well drawn and settled. Dr. Geeta Oberoi i/c Director and Shruti Jane, Law Associate have worked hard and made the programme a success.</li> <li>8. At least one session on environmental regulation could have been included.</li> </ol>
4	<p><b>What are your gains/learning from this programme?</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Views and opinions of the experts in the relevant field.</li> <li>2. Exposure to Regulation related enactments has been very beneficial and professional.</li> <li>3. Many new subjects learned by this Seminar, should also conduct such types of seminar in the future including other areas .</li> <li>4. P 969 was on an evolving subject. It was beneficial to be briefed by the experts and provided with the judicious approach by the Hon'ble Judges.</li> <li>5. Learned.</li> <li>6. Good learning experience.</li> <li>7. Very well gained knowledge.</li> <li>8. It enlarged one's vision on the jurisprudence and understanding of tools to be adopted by the judiciary in the newly dawned era of regulations. The interaction with the non-judicial personnel from CCI, SEBI, TRAI, IRDA, etc. helped in understanding the constraints in the functioning of such bodies. The inputs and interactions with the Paenllist judges, Prof. Baxi and the participating judge were extremely fruitful.</li> </ol>
5	<p><b>Kindly make any Suggestion you may have on how NJA may Serve You better and make the Programme more effective:</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Participant did not comment; 2. Participant did not comment</li> <li>3. Seminar should be avoided during working days so as to spare precious time for judicial work.</li> <li>4. More focused reading material can be made available.</li> <li>5. Better research for case laws.</li> <li>6. Send the programme material of the courses conducted by NJA in the emails of judges.</li> <li>7. Well done, no suggestion for now.</li> <li>8. More involvement of eminent Senior Counsels from Supreme Court could be appropriately considered. Programmes with focus on the Ambedkarite vision on the harmonious blend between Directive Principles and Fundamental Rights could be thought of.</li> </ol>

6	<b>Any other Suggestion / Remarks</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Keep up the good work.</li> <li>2. Please advise the eminent Resource persons to avoid inserting too much material in PPT slides. There may be more numbers of slides instead with bigger font and less straining the eyes.</li> <li>3. Participant did not comment</li> <li>4. Not at present.</li> <li>5. Participant did not comment</li> <li>6. Participant did not comment</li> <li>7. Participant did not comment</li> <li>8. More programmes for participation of South Asian Superior Courts' judges could be considered. Programmes exclusively on jurisprudence and its new emerging trends with academics and former Supreme Court Judges as Panelists could be organized at least once a year.</li> </ol>
---	---------------------------------------	---

SNo	Particulars	Respon dent	Marks out of 5	Remarks
1	Reading Material	3	4/5	Material should have been supplied prior to the Seminar.
2	Travel	-	-	-
3	Protocol	-	-	-
4	Reception	-	-	-
5	Cleanliness	-	-	-
6	Food	2	3/5	Cold food is served at Dinner. The heat lamps were not used by and large.
7	Hygiene	7	-	Very good hygiene
8	Staff Behaviour	7	-	Very well behaved
9	Hospitality	7	-	Happily attended and treated

<b>Programme &amp; Hospitality Feedback</b>										
1	<b>Mark Your Satisfaction, Ranging from 1(Very Poor), 2 (Poor), 3 (Fair enough),4 (good), 5 (Excellent),for the following:</b>									
2	<b>No.of Participant</b>	<b>11</b>								
3	<b>No. of forms received</b>	<b>8</b>								
	<b>Respondent No.</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>Total marks out of 40</b>
<b>No.</b>	<b>Subject</b>	<b>Marks</b>								
<b>1</b>	<b>Reading Material</b>	4	4	4	3	3	5	5	5	<b>33/40</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Travel</b>	3	4	5	3	3	5	4	4	<b>31/40</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Protocol</b>	4	3	5	4	3	5	5	3	<b>32/40</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Reception</b>	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	<b>36/40</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Cleanliness</b>	4	5	4	4	3	5	5	4	<b>34/40</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Food</b>	4	3	4	3	4	4	4	3	<b>29/40</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Hygiene</b>	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	<b>33/40</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Staff Behavior</b>	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	<b>35/40</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Hospitality</b>	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	<b>34/40</b>

Prepared by the Documentation/Communication &PR Unit of the National Judicial Academy