

**P 946: Conference on Functions of Registrar (Vigilance/Intelligence)
28-30 September, 2015**

1	Prog Coordinator	Mr. Shivaraj Huchhanavar
2	No.of Participants	20
3	No. of forms received	20

General Suggestion

1.	<p>Give your views on the structure of the programme and sessions included</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Nicely arranged for especial learning for Registrar (Vigilance). 2. The programme is very important to done my work. 3. Good endeavour; Sound structure. 4. These very good, covering various subjects. 5. Respondent did not respond. 6. This programme is a specialized program. It should have been programmed in active collaboration/deliberations with a person who has been/is Registrar (Vigilance) 7. Well arranged. Relevant topics aptly referred. 8. It is true that to formulate the programme for Registrar (Vigilance) is very touch, as normally, there is formulated Rules regarding activities of Registrar(Vigilance). However, the manner in which the programme set up ia a good and appreciable attempt. Mr. Shivaraj in his first attempt has also nicely prepared the study materials. 9. Some of the matters which were not connected to Vigilance Branch. Some more materials should have been given enquiry and trial for collection of materials. 10. Very good. 11. Structure of the programme has been good but it could be for two days in as much as two days would have been sufficient for the sessions included in the programme. 12. Programme is eye opener to contain the corruption in judicial system. Programme has been structured to analyse the balance approach to protect the honest judicial officers and condemn the rotten eggs. 13. Library reading and computer skills should not be included in the next programme.
----	---	--

		<p>14. All sessions are very useful. Structure of the programme is good.</p> <p>15. The programme has proved immense values for me. Almost every topic has been included. Very informative enhancing my knowledge.</p> <p>16. Good. Much importance may be given to group discussion.</p> <p>17. The structure of the programme is good.</p> <p>18. Technique to catch the corrupt Judicial officer be made part of programme structure.</p> <p>19. Very beneficial Programme. Covered almost all the aspects of functioning of Registrar (Vigilance).</p> <p>20. Nicely designed programme.</p>
2.	<p>What are your gains/learning from this programme</p>	<p>1. We do have gain learning . We have received a lot strong conversations and comments of Hon'ble Retd. Justice Mr. AK Patnaik sabeb.</p> <p>2. Very important knowledge and material.</p> <p>3. Greater clarity of the perceived 'Roles" of the Vigilance branch.</p> <p>4. Some new aspects of conducting vigilance inquiries were discussed.</p> <p>5. Yes.</p> <p>6. We had some insight from the personal experiences of learned speakers.</p> <p>7. Developing vision with objective standards.</p> <p>8. Most beneficial, in my humble opinion, was the sessions No 10. Role of Registrar (Vig.) as Appellate Authority under RTI Act in which I could learn many things which will guide in doing the work as Appellate authority under RTI act.</p> <p>9. We learnt that some gain was there how registrar vigilance can conduct himself and that are actual duties of him.</p> <p>10. I learnt many new things regarding role, function of Registrar (Vigilance) and the high standard required to be maintained by each judicial officer.</p> <p>11. Best practices adopted by various High Courts.</p> <p>12. Learnt a lot which will help to deal with vigilance cases against staff and officers.</p> <p>13. Fruitful.</p> <p>14. All sessions are useful for my daily working.</p>

		<p>15. A very enriching experience, sharing of information by Hon'ble Speakers, by other representatives of different High Courts, we get to know of different set ups, rules, procedures etc adopted by different High Courts.</p> <p>16. I gained much about RTI Act and practices are following by various states. But not up to the expectation.</p> <p>17. Various new topics which may be helpful for the performance of the functions of Registrar (Vig.) were learned in this programme.</p> <p>18. Enough gains in programmes</p> <p>19. It has enhanced out knowledge regarding rules and functions of Registrar Vigilance. This would facilitate us in discharge of our day to day working effectively.</p> <p>20. Vision has been broadened by hearing Hon'ble Justice AK Patnaik and Hon'ble Mr. Justice RC Chavan.</p>
3.	<p>Kindly make any suggestions you may have on how NJA may serve you better and make its programmes more effective.</p>	<p>1. Working well. No suggestion.</p> <p>2. Regular training.</p> <p>3. More interaction amongst the participants- best and worst practices; Discussion on "powers" to be exercised by Vigilance Establishment- esp. with focus on fight against monetary corruption; Discussion on Gujarat Model; Discussion of Judicial Vigilance practices in foreign courtiers esp. in adversarial system of law)</p> <p>4. Include also Registrar (Confidential) and Vigilance Officer deputed at eh District Courts and OSD inquiries of ADJ rank deputed at High Court.</p> <p>5. What are the subjects under the control of each Registrar and divide the subject to be dealt with in each programme.</p> <p>6. Please involve a person who has been in the system while designing the content, context and material for the programme.</p> <p>7. 1.Some elaboration on basic principles and precedents on service law may help; 2.Sharing of good practices of Central Vigilance Commission may also help; 3. Methodology of gathering intelligence and structure may be discussed with teh help of retired officers of CBI/IB- for sharing ideas.</p> <p>8. Sometimes, now-a-days, we feel some problem for not allowing the spouse in NJA, for, there may be some officers who are living alone with their wives in their place of works, and keeping alone the wife int eh quarters, some anxiety always remain in the mind of concerned officers to come alone to NJA and to attend the programme is a bit anxious disturbed mind. If possible, some laxity may kindly be shown in this regard. So, far as the chalking out of programmes are there, same is</p>

		<p>more or less beneficial and useful.</p> <p>9. More participation to the participants; Should be given to share their experience. Immediately after each session each candidate must be given opportunity to express their views.</p> <p>10. The programme should be prepared after consulting Retired judicial officers who had worked as “Registrar (Vigilance) and has some experience.</p> <p>11. For programme of this sort, experts from other field dealing with the similar subject can be brought, so that the best practices adopted by them can be made be known to judicial officers.</p> <p>12. Courses must be coined in such way so that beside academic discussion pragmatic approach of different jurisdiction be considered and endeavour should be proceeding towards uniformity in similar circumstances.</p> <p>13 Respondent did not respond.</p> <p>14. Group discussion session should have in next programme.</p> <p>15. NJA’s initiative and hold the programme was excellent. Still the NJA can come forward with a comparative chart of the practices being followed in other High Courts.</p> <p>16. Programme and name of the Resource Persons has to be informed well in advance much importance has to be given to interaction.</p> <p>17. In this programme, all High Courts have their own procedure of handling/delaing with Vigilance matters. So before commencing the programme, feed back may be taken from the High Court and thereafter structure of programme may be framed. Apart from general complaints received in different High Courts should be discussed.</p> <p>18. Respondent did not respond</p> <p>19. Very effective programme.</p> <p>20. Good progarmms of practical utility should be devised. Exceletn faculty should be employed.</p>
4.	<p>Any other suggestion/ Remark</p>	<p>1. No, 2. No.</p> <p>3. Programmes must continue and the Hon’ble Chief Justices of various High Courts may be requested to spare some time and address on the perpective of ‘vigilance’ from the ‘Chair’.</p> <p>4. Assistance of Telephone Dept; Surveillance experts; handwriting voice comparison, video recording; prevention of CDR call details of judicial officers of</p>

their period of tenure at their place of postings; technical assistance should be forwarded to special officers (Vigilance), who is conducting vigilance inquiries. 2. Upto 19986, an IPS officer was the in charge of vigilance cell established at High Court Allahabad vide GO order.11.1986, from 1989 it is manned by Senior District Judge. Now Government of UP/Special Secretary Law has informed that Government is nothing to do or connection with VB Cell established in High Court, where as VB Cell is Govt. Department established under the UP Vigilance Establishment Act 1965 and 10 posts were created vide GO 28.8. 1974.

5. No. 7. Respondent did not respond. 8. Nothing as such, 9. Respondent did not respond; 10. Respondent did not respond; 11. Respondent did not respond; 13. Respondent did not respond; 15. Respondent did not respond; 18. Respondent did not respond;

6. More comprehensive programme content wise, resource persons wise would have been of greater benefit.

12. Balance in academic discussions and circumstances in which Courts work in different states.

14. Group discussion session should have in next programmes.

16. Those who had already served in the vigilance cell as Registrar (Vigilance) may be a Resource Person. They have to be informed well in advance to prepared with materials.

17. Person who has actually worked/posted as Registrar (Vig.) should have been called to deliver lecture.

P 946: Programme & Hospitality Feedback																						
1	Mark Your Satisfaction ,Ranging from 1(Very Poor) 2 (Poor) 3 (Fair enough) 4 (good) 5 (Excellent) for the following:																					
2	No.of Participant	20																				
3	No. of forms received	20																				
	Respondent No.	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	Total mark. out of 100
	Subject	Marks																				
a	Reading Material	5	5	4	4	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	5	4	5	5	4	4	5	5	4	85/100
b	Travel	4	4	3	4	0	4	4	4	5	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	80/100
c	Protocol	4	4	3	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	83/100
d	Reception	4	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	3	4	4	85/100
e	Cleanliness	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	5	4	86/100
g	Food	5	5	3	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	4	4	5	5	4	87/100
f	Hygiene	5	4	3	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	3	5	5	4	82/100
h	Staff Behavior	4	4	3	4	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	5	4	4	4	5	5	5	85/100
i	Hospitality	5	5	4	5	4	4	5	4	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	4	5	5	4	87/100

**P 946: Conference on Functions of Registrar (Vigilance/Intelligence)
28-30 September, 2015**

SNo	Particulars	Respondent No	Remarks
1	Reading Material	6. 8. 9. 12. 18.	Should be more insightful, more practical, should be made in consultation with present/retd. Registrar (Vigilance) It will help us in enhancing the knowledge/informations. It could have been included some more material pertaining to each of the High Courts. Prepared by killing hard work. Good/Very Good
2	Travel	8. 18.	Got the lifting vehicle in time for coming to NJA and to go therefrom. Very good.
4	Protocol	8. 18.	Salman Khan is found to be very good in coordinating with me regarding itinerary. Excellent
5	Reception	8. 18.	It is always found good. Fair enough (Mr. Jain was at the airport he has not properly 'Receive')
6	Cleanliness	8.	It is found clean and hygienic
7	Food	8. 15.	Usually it is found good. Excellent. But still food basket in the room should be replenished daily.
8	Hygiene	8. 15.	Usually it is found good. Towels should be changed daily.
9	Staff Behaviour	8.	Usually it is found good.
10	Hospitality	8.	Usually it is found good.
11	Others	6	There is nothing to complain. Everything is too good- excellent. Please maintain it and thanks for maintaining so well.

